

**SUPREME COURT MINUTES  
TUESDAY, JULY 18, 2000  
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA**

- S069522 Peter Alan Kasler et al., Appellants  
3rd Dist. v.  
C017769 Daniel E. Lungren, as Attorney General, etc. et al., Respondents  
The time for granting or denying a rehearing in the above cause is hereby extended to and including September 27, 2000, or the date upon which a rehearing is either granted or denied, whichever occurs first.
- 2nd Dist. In re Nicacio Mercado  
B136182 on  
Div. 4 Habeas Corpus  
S089040 The time for granting or denying review in the above matter is hereby extended to and including September 7, 2000, or the date upon which review is either granted or denied.
- S031423 People, Respondent  
v.  
Gregory Allen Sturm, Appellant  
On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to and including September 18, 2000.
- S035368 People, Respondent  
v.  
Enrique Zambrano, Appellant  
On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to and including September 25, 2000.
- S048543 People, Respondent  
v.  
Charles F. Rountree, Appellant  
On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the appellant is granted to and including September 12, 2000, to request correction of the record on appeal. Counsel for appellant is ordered to notify the Clerk of the Supreme Court in writing as soon as the act as to which the Court has granted an extension of time has been completed.

S068106      In re **John Ingraham Meeker** on Discipline

Good cause having been shown, it is hereby ordered that probation is revoked, the previously ordered stay of execution of suspension in the above-entitled matter is lifted, and it is ordered that **John Ingraham Meeker, State Bar No. 95878**, be suspended from the practice of law for two years and until he has shown proof satisfactory to the State Bar Court of his rehabilitation, fitness to practice and learning and ability in the general law pursuant to standard 1.4(c)(ii), Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct, that execution of suspension be stayed, and that he be placed on probation for three years on condition that he be actually suspended for six months, with credit for the 60 day period of actual suspension previously served in S068106. He is further ordered to comply with rule 955 of the California Rules of Court, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.\* Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and payable in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6140.7.

\*(See Bus. & Prof. Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)

S070010;      In re **Kim Calder Hayes** on Discipline  
S073355

Good cause having been shown, it is hereby ordered that probation is revoked, the previously ordered stay of execution of suspension in the above entitled matters is lifted, and **Kim Calder Hayes, State Bar No. 133757**, shall be actually suspended from the practice of law in S070010 for five months and until he provides proof to the satisfaction of the State Bar Court of his rehabilitation, fitness to practice and learning and ability in the general law pursuant to standard 1.4(c)(ii), Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct, and in S073355 he shall be actually suspended from the practice of law for 11 months. The period of actual suspension in S073355 shall be consecutive to the actual suspension in S070010. It is also ordered that **Kim Calder Hayes** attend State Bar Ethics School and pass the test given at the end of such session during the period of his actual suspension. He is further ordered to comply with rule 955, California Rules of Court, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 days, respectively, after the date this order is effective.\* Credit toward the period of actual suspension shall be given for the period of involuntary inactive enrollment which

commenced on January 21, 2000 (Business and Professions Code 6007(d)(3)). Costs are awarded to the State Bar pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and payable in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6140.7.

\*See Business & Professions Code, § 6126, subdivision (c).

S087117 In the Matter of the Resignation of **Kelly A. Hatfield**  
A Member of the State Bar of California

The voluntary resignation of **Kelly A. Hatfield, #140983** as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted without prejudice to further proceedings in any disciplinary proceeding pending against her should she hereafter seek reinstatement. It is ordered that she comply with rule 955, California Rules of Court, and that she perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 60 and 70 days, respectively, after the date this order is filed.\* Costs are awarded to the State Bar.

\*(See Bus. & Prof. Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)

S087687 In re **Peter Rind Van Petten** on Discipline

It is hereby ordered that **Peter Rind Van Petten, State Bar No. 94396**, be disbarred from the practice of law and that his name be stricken from the roll of attorneys. Respondent is also ordered to comply with rule 955 of the California Rules of Court, and to perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 days, respectively, after the date this order is effective.\* Costs are awarded to the State Bar.

\*(See Bus. & Prof. Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)

S087689 In re **Angela Barseghian** on Discipline

It is ordered that **Angela Barseghian, State Bar No. 140852**, be suspended from the practice of law for 60 days, that execution of suspension be stayed, and that she be placed on probation for one year subject to the conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its order approving stipulation filed on February 23, 2000. It is further ordered that she take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order. ((See *Segretti v. State Bar* (1976) 15 Cal.3d 878, 891, fn. 8.)) Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and payable in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6140.7.

S087691 In re **Craig Belden Stalker** on Discipline

It is ordered that **Craig Belden Stalker, State Bar No. 41930**, be suspended from the practice of law for one year, that execution of suspension be stayed, and that he be actually suspended for 90 days and until he successfully completes Ethics School and furnishes satisfactory proof thereof to the Probation Unit, State Bar Office of the Chief Trial Counsel, as recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its decision filed on February 15, 2000, as modified by its order filed March 9, 2000; and until the State Bar Court grants a motion to terminate his actual suspension pursuant to rule 205 of the Rules of Procedure of the State Bar of California. Respondent is also ordered to comply with the other conditions of probation, if any, hereinafter imposed by the State Bar Court as a condition for terminating his actual suspension. If respondent is actually suspended for two years or more, he shall remain actually suspended until he provides proof to the satisfaction of the State Bar Court of his rehabilitation, fitness to practice and learning and ability in the general law pursuant to standard 1.4(c)(ii) of the Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct. It is further ordered that respondent take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order or during the period of his actual suspension, whichever is longer. ((See *Segretti v. State Bar* (1976) 15 Cal.3d 878, 891, fn. 8.) It is further ordered that respondent comply with rule 955 of the California Rules of Court, and that he perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.\* Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and payable in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6140.7.

\*(See Bus. & Prof. Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)

S087692 In re **Thomas Maximus Connolly III** on Discipline

It is ordered that **Thomas Maximus Connolly III, State Bar No. 68777**, be suspended from the practice of law for three years, that execution of the suspension be stayed, and that he be placed on probation for three years on condition that he be actually suspended for 30 months and until he has shown proof satisfactory to the State

Bar Court of respondent's rehabilitation, fitness to practice and learning and ability in the general law pursuant to standard 1.4(c)(ii), Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct. Respondent is further ordered to comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on March 13, 2000. Credit toward the period of actual suspension shall be given for the period of interim suspension which commenced on July 1, 1997. It is also ordered that respondent take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date. (See *Segretti v. State Bar* (1976) 15 Cal.3d 878, 891, fn. 8.) Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and payable in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6140.7

S087815 In re **Louis A. Wysocki** on Discipline

It is ordered that **Louis A. Wysocki, State Bar No. 182579**, be suspended from the practice of law for two years, that execution of suspension be stayed, and that he be placed on probation for three years subject to the conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its order approving stipulation executed on March 21, 2000. It is further ordered that he take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order. (See *Segretti v. State Bar* (1976) 15 Cal.3d 878, 891, fn. 8.) Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and payable in equal amounts prior to February 1 of calendar years 2001, 2002 and 2003.

S087816 In re **Eleanor L. Preston** on Discipline

It is ordered that **Eleanor L. Preston, State Bar No. 129138**, be suspended from the practice of law for two years and until she provides proof satisfactory to the State Bar Court of her rehabilitation, fitness to practice and learning and ability in the general law pursuant to standard 1.4(c)(ii), Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct, that execution of the suspension be stayed, and that she be placed on probation for three years subject to the conditions of probation, including six months actual suspension, recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its order approving stipulation filed on February 4, 2000. It is also ordered that she take and pass the

Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order. (See *Segretti v. State Bar* (1976) 15 Cal.3d 878, 891, fn. 8.) It is further ordered that she comply with rule 955 of the California Rules of Court, and that she perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.\* Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with section 6086.10 and payable in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6140.7.

\*(See Bus. & Prof. Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)

S087840 In re **George A. Baker** on Discipline

It is ordered that **George A. Baker, State Bar No. 146479**, be suspended from the practice of law for one year, that execution of suspension be stayed, and that he be placed on probation for 30 days and until he attends State Bar Ethics School and passes the test given at the end of such session; and until the State Bar Court grants a motion to terminate his actual suspension pursuant to rule 205 of the Rules of Procedure of the State Bar of California as recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its decision filed February 25, 2000. Respondent is also ordered to comply with the conditions of probation, if any, hereinafter imposed by the State Bar Court as a condition for terminating his actual suspension. If respondent is actually suspended for two years or more, he shall remain actually suspended until he provides proof to the satisfaction of the State Bar Court of his rehabilitation, fitness to practice and learning and ability in the general law pursuant to standard 1.4(c)(ii), Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct. It is further ordered that respondent take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order or during the period of respondent's actual suspension, whichever is longer. (See *Segretti v. State Bar* (1976) 15 Cal.3d 878, 891, fn. 8.) If respondent is actually suspended for 90 days or more, it is further ordered that he comply with rule 955 of the California Rules of Court, and that he perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 129 and 130 days, respectively, after the date this order is effective.\* Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and payable in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6140.7.

\*(See Bus. & Prof. Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)

S087857 In re **Douglass Edward Hubert** on Discipline

It is hereby ordered that **Douglass Edward Hubert, State Bar No. 32173**, be disbarred from the practice of law and that his name be stricken from the roll of attorneys. Respondent is also ordered to comply with rule 955, California Rules of Court, and to perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 days, respectively, after the date this order is effective.\* Costs are awarded to the State Bar.

\*(See Bus. & Prof. Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)

S087905 In re **Denise D. Moorehead** on Discipline

It is ordered that **Denise D. Moorehead, State Bar No. 136369**, be suspended from the practice of law for one year and until she provides proof satisfactory to the State Bar Court of her rehabilitation, fitness to practice and learning and ability in the general law pursuant to standard 1.4(c)(ii), Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct, that execution of suspension be stayed, and that she be placed on probation for three years subject to the conditions of probation, including restitution, recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its decision filed on March 22, 2000. It is further ordered that she take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order. (See *Segretti v. State Bar* (1976) 15 Cal.3d 878, 891, fn. 8.) Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and payable in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6140.7.

S087906 In re **John Owen Stansbury** on Discipline

It is ordered that **John Owen Stansbury** be suspended from the practice of law in the State of California for a period of two years; that execution of the two-year suspension be stayed; and that he be actually suspended from the practice of law for a period of ninety days and until: he makes restitution to John Frankinhouse in the amount of \$750 plus interest thereon at the rate of 10% per annum from February 28, 1996, until paid and furnishes satisfactory proof the restitution to the State Bar's Probation Unit in Los Angeles; he complies with rule 955 of the California Rules of Court; he attends and satisfactory completes the State Bar's Ethic School and provides satisfactory proof of his completion to the State Bar's Probation Unit in Los Angeles; and the State Bar Court grants a motion to terminate

his actual suspension under rule 205 of the Rules of Procedure of the State Bar as recommended by the Review Department of the State Bar Court in its opinion filed on February 24, 2000, as modified by its order filed March 21, 2000. Respondent is also ordered to comply with the conditions of probation, if any, hereafter imposed on him by the State Bar Court as a condition for terminating the actual suspension imposed on him by this order. If respondent remains on actual for two or more years, he shall remain on actual suspension until he provides proof satisfactory to the State Bar Court of his rehabilitation, present fitness to practice, and present learning and ability in the general law in accordance with standard 1.4(c)(ii), Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct. It is further ordered that respondent take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order or during the period of his actual suspension, whichever is longer. (See *Segretti v. State Bar* (1976) 15 Cal.3d 878, 891, fn. 8.) It is further ordered that respondent comply with rule 955, California Rules of Court and that he perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.\* Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and payable in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6140.7.

\*See Business & Professions Code, § 6126, subdivision (c).

S087909 In re **Lee Sherman Meyer** on Discipline

It is hereby ordered that **Lee Sherman Meyer, State Bar No. 174820**, be summarily disbarred from the practice of law and that his name be stricken from the roll of attorneys. He is also ordered to comply with rule 955, California Rules of Court, and to perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 days, respectively, after the date this order is effective.\* Costs are awarded to the State Bar.

\*See Business & Professions Code, § 6126, subdivision (c).

S087946 In re **Joseph Lester Cowan, Jr.** on Discipline

It is ordered that **Joseph Lester Cowan, Jr., State Bar No. 55559**, be suspended from the practice of law for four years and until he has shown proof satisfactory to the State Bar Court of his rehabilitation, fitness to practice and learning and ability in the general law pursuant to standard 1.4(c)(ii), Standards for Attorney



Sanctions for Professional Misconduct, that execution of the suspension be stayed, and that he be placed on probation for four years on condition that he be actually suspended for two years and until he has shown proof satisfactory to the State Bar Court of his rehabilitation, fitness to practice and learning and ability in the general law pursuant to standard 1.4(c)(ii), Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct. Credit towards the period of actual suspension shall be given for the period of interim suspension which commenced on July 2, 1997. (*In re Young* (1989) 49 Cal.3d 257, 270.) **Joseph Lester Cowan, Jr.** is further ordered to comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on March 30, 2000. It is also ordered that he take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination during the period of his actual suspension. (See *Segretti v. State Bar* (1976) 15 Cal.3d 878, 891, fn. 8.) Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and payable in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6140.7.

S087947 In re **Dave Michael Barela** on Discipline

It is ordered that **Dave Michael Barela, State Bar No. 102601**, be suspended from the practice of law for 60 days, that execution of suspension be stayed, and that he be placed on probation for two years subject to the conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its order approving stipulation filed on March 23, 2000. It is further ordered that he take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order. (See *Segretti v. State Bar* (1976) 15 Cal.3d 878, 891, fn. 8.) Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and payable in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6140.7.

S087948 In re **Michael Joseph Ackerman** on Discipline

It is ordered that **Michael Joseph Ackerman, State Bar No. 104170**, be suspended from the practice of law for five years, that execution of suspension be stayed, and that he be actually suspended from the practice of law for three years and until he provides proof satisfactory to the State Bar Court of his rehabilitation, fitness to

practice and learning and ability in the general law pursuant to standard 1.4(c)(ii), Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct; and until he makes restitution to Henry S. Loh (or the Client Security Fund, if appropriate) in the amount of \$7385 plus 10% interest per annum from November 6, 1996; and until he makes restitution to Matthew Schiernbeck (or the Client Security Fund, if appropriate) in the amount of \$16,000 plus 10% interest per annum from October 6, 1995, and furnishes satisfactory proof thereof to the Probation Unit, State Bar Office of the Chief Trial Counsel, as recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its decision filed on March 2, 2000, as modified by its order filed March 13, 2000; and until the State Bar Court grants a motion to terminate his actual suspension pursuant to rule 205 of the Rules of Procedure of the State Bar of California. Respondent is also ordered to comply with the conditions of probation, if any, hereinafter imposed by the State Bar Court as a condition for termination of his actual suspension. It is further ordered that respondent take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination during the period of his actual suspension. (See *Segretti v. State Bar* (1976) 15 Cal.3d 878, 891, fn. 8.) It is further ordered that respondent comply with rule 955 of the California Rules of Court, and that he perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.\* Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and payable in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6140.7.

\*(See Bus. & Prof. Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)

S088062 In re **Lawrence M. Longo** on Discipline

It is ordered that **Lawrence M. Longo, State Bar No. 43519**, be suspended from the practice of law for two years, that execution of suspension be stayed, and that he be placed on probation for two years subject to the conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its order approving stipulation executed on March 16, 2000. It is further ordered that he take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order. (See *Segretti v. State Bar* (1976) 15 Cal.3d 878, 891, fn. 8.) Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and payable in equal installments prior to February 1 of calendar years 2001, 2002 and 2003.

S088064 In re **Charles Luke McKissack** on Discipline

It is ordered that **Charles Luke McKissack, State Bar No. 33526**, be suspended from the practice of law for three years, that execution of the suspension be stayed, and that he be actually suspended for two years and until he makes restitution to Michael Chia (or the Client Security Fund, if appropriate) in the amount of \$10,000 plus 10% interest per annum from July 1, 1995, and furnishes satisfactory proof thereof to the Probation Unit, State Bar Office of the Chief Trial Counsel; and until he returns all documents and papers to Michael Chia; and until he has shown proof to the satisfaction of the State Bar Court of his rehabilitation, fitness to practice and learning and ability in the general law pursuant to standard 1.4(c)(ii) of the Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct; and until the State Bar Court grants a motion to terminate his actual suspension pursuant to rule 205 of the Rules of Procedure of the State Bar of California, as recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its decision filed on March 7, 2000. Respondent is also ordered to comply with the conditions of probation, if any, hereinafter imposed by the State Bar Court as a condition for termination of his actual suspension. It is further ordered that respondent take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination during the period of his actual suspension. (See *Segretti v. State Bar* (1976) 15 Cal.3d 878, 891, fn. 8.) Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and payable in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6140.7.

S088067 In re **John Joseph McNamara** on Discipline

It is ordered that **John Joseph McNamara, State Bar No. 80407**, be suspended from the practice of law for six months and until he makes restitution to Franco DiLorenzo (or the Client Security Fund, if appropriate) in the amount of \$185.00, plus 10% interest per annum from October 14, 1996, and furnishes satisfactory proof thereof to the Probation Unit, Office of the Chief Trial Counsel, that execution of suspension be stayed, and that he be placed on probation for one year subject to the conditions of probation, including restitution, recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its order approving stipulation executed on March 13, 2000. It is further ordered that he take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order. (See *Segretti v. State*

*Bar* (1976) 15 Cal.3d 878, 891, fn. 8.) Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and payable in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6140.7.

S088162 In re **Henry Dennis Cruz** on Discipline

It is hereby ordered that **Henry Dennis Cruz, State Bar No. 129047**, be summarily disbarred from the practice of law and that his name be stricken from the roll of attorneys. He is also ordered to comply with rule 955, California Rules of Court, and to perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 days, respectively, after the date this order is effective.\* Costs are awarded to the State Bar.

\*See Business & Professions Code, § 6126, subdivision (c).

S088163 In re **Robert Bruce Ayres** on Discipline

It is hereby ordered that **Robert Bruce Ayres, State Bar No. 84922**, be disbarred from the practice of law and that his name be stricken from the roll of attorneys. Respondent is also ordered to comply with rule 955 of the California Rules of Court, and to perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 days, respectively, after the date this order is effective.\* Costs are awarded to the State Bar.

\*(See Bus. & Prof. Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)

S088226 In re **Lewis R. Wiener** on Discipline

It is ordered that **Lewis R. Wiener, State Bar No. 41186**, be suspended from the practice of law for two years, that execution of suspension be stayed, and that he be placed on probation for two years on condition that he be actually suspended for 45 days. Respondent is also ordered to comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its order approving stipulation filed March 23, 2000. It is further ordered that he take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order. (See *Segretti v. State Bar* (1976) 15 Cal.3d 878, 891, fn. 8.) Costs are awarded to the State Bar pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and payable in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6140.7.

S088227 In re **Deborah G. Levinson** on Discipline

It is ordered that **Deborah G. Levinson, State Bar No. 123773**, be suspended from the practice of law for three years and until she makes restitution to Sandra Martinez in the amount of \$400 plus 10% interest per annum from August 1, 1995; and until she makes restitution to Sam Gonzales in the amount of \$1042.75, plus 10% interest per annum from January 1, 1991; and until she makes restitution to Robert Fletcher in the amount of \$4720 plus 10% interest per annum from January 1, 1991; until she makes restitution to Michelle Mannering in the amount of \$1500 plus 10% interest per annum from August 1, 1995, (or the Client Security Fund, if appropriate) and furnishes satisfactory proof thereof to the State Bar Probation Unit, Office of Chief Trial Counsel; and until she provides proof satisfactory to the State Bar Court of her rehabilitation, fitness to practice and learning and ability in the general law pursuant to standard 1.4(c)(ii), Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct; and until she provides evidence to the Probation Unit, of satisfactory completion of State Bar Ethics School and the State Bar Client Trust Account Record-Keeping Course; and until she provides a written statement to the Probation Unit from her treating mental health care provider that she is either no longer in need of treatment or that she is able to practice law without being a threat of harm to her clients or to the public; that execution of suspension be stayed, and that he be placed on probation for three years on condition that she be actually suspended for two years and until she makes restitution, complies with standard 1.4(c)(ii), provides evidence of successful completion of the specified courses and provides a written statement from her mental health care provider as set forth above. The provisions in the stayed and actual suspensions regarding the submission of evidence of successful completion of the specified courses and of a written statement from her mental health care provider are in effect only until October 27, 2001, and must be complied with by that date. Respondent is further ordered to comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its order approving stipulation filed on March 16, 2000, as modified by its order filed April 26, 2000. It is also ordered that she take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination during the period of her actual suspension. (See *Segretti v. State Bar* (1976) 15 Cal.3d 878, 891, fn. 8.) Respondent is further ordered to comply with rule 955, California Rules of Court, and perform the acts specified in

subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.\* Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and payable in equal installments prior to February 1 of calendar years 2002 and 2003.

\*(See Bus. & Prof. Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)

S089186 In re **Chet Williams** on Discipline

It is ordered that probation previously ordered in S068469 (95-0-15612) be extended for a period of six months. Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and payable in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6140.7.

S089213 In the Matter of the Resignation of **Socrates G. Domingo**  
A Member of the State Bar of California

The voluntary resignation of **Socrates G. Domingo, State Bar No. 137333**, as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted without prejudice to further proceedings in any disciplinary proceeding pending against him should he hereafter seek reinstatement. It is ordered that he comply with rule 955, California Rules of Court, and that he perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 60 and 70 days, respectively, after the date this order is filed.\* Costs are awarded to the State Bar.

\*(See Bus. & Prof. Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)

S089324 In re **Paul Yanez** on Discipline

Good cause having been shown, it is hereby ordered that probation in Case No(s). S066855 and S069877 be revoked, the previously ordered stay of execution of suspension in the above-entitled matter is lifted, and **Paul Yanez, State Bar No. 100492**, shall be actually suspended from the practice of law for two years in Case No. S066855, and in Case No. S069877 he shall be actually suspended from the practice of law for a period of two years and until he has shown proof satisfactory to the State Bar Court of his rehabilitation, fitness to practice and learning and ability in the general law pursuant to standard 1.4(c)(ii), Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct. The periods of actual suspension imposed in Case No(s) S066855 and S069877 shall be concurrent. Credit toward the period of actual suspension shall be given for the period of involuntary inactive enrollment which

commenced on April 9, 2000 (Business and Professions Code section 6007(d)(3)). Within the period of his actual suspension, **Paul Yanez** is also ordered to attend State Bar Ethics School and take and pass the test given at the end of such session and provide satisfactory proof of attendance to the State Bar Probation Unit, Office of the Chief Trial Counsel and to attend no less than 12 hours of MCLE-approved courses in law office management and provide satisfactory proof of attendance to the Probation Unit. He is further ordered to comply with rule 955, California Rules of Court, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 days, respectively, after the date this order is effective.\* Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and payable in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6140.7.

\*See Business & Professions Code, § 6126, subdivision (c).

S089326      In the Matter of the Resignation of **Gary Steven Kleinman**  
A Member of the State Bar of California

The voluntary resignation of **Gary Steven Kleinman, State Bar No. 85232**, as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted without prejudice to further proceedings in any disciplinary proceeding pending against him should he hereafter seek reinstatement. It is ordered that he comply with rule 955, California Rules of Court, and that he perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 60 and 70 days, respectively, after the date this order is filed.\* Costs are awarded to the State Bar.

\*(See Bus. & Prof. Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)

S089394      In the Matter of the Resignation of **Rosemary E. Fatusin**  
A Member of the State Bar of California

The voluntary resignation of **Rosemary E. Fatusin, State Bar No. 170394**, as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted without prejudice to further proceedings in any disciplinary proceeding pending against her should she hereafter seek reinstatement. It is ordered that she comply with rule 955, California Rules of Court, and that she perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 60 and 70 days, respectively, after the date this order is filed.\* Costs are awarded to the State Bar.

\*(See Bus. & Prof. Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)

- S089395      In the Matter of the Resignation of **Jerome Jay Eisenberg**  
A Member of the State Bar of California  
The voluntary resignation of **Jerome Jay Eisenberg, State Bar No. 105642**, as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted without prejudice to further proceedings in any disciplinary proceeding pending against him should he hereafter seek reinstatement. It is ordered that he comply with rule 955, California Rules of Court, and that he perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 60 and 70 days, respectively, after the date this order is filed.\* Costs are awarded to the State Bar.  
\*(See Bus. & Prof. Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)
- S089397      In the Matter of the Resignation of **Isauro Diaz**  
A Member of the State Bar of California  
The voluntary resignation of **Isauro Diaz, State Bar No. 130475**, as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted without prejudice to further proceedings in any disciplinary proceeding pending against him should he hereafter seek reinstatement. It is ordered that he comply with rule 955, California Rules of Court, and that he perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 60 and 70 days, respectively, after the date this order is filed.\* Costs are awarded to the State Bar.  
\*(See Bus. & Prof. Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)
- S089468      In the Matter of the Resignation of **Franklin Feigenbaum**  
A Member of the State Bar of California  
The voluntary resignation of **Franklin Feigenbaum, State Bar No. 134403**, as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted without prejudice to further proceedings in any disciplinary proceeding pending against him should he hereafter seek reinstatement. It is ordered that he comply with rule 955, California Rules of Court, and that he perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 60 and 70 days, respectively, after the date this order is filed.\* Costs are awarded to the State Bar.  
\*(See Bus. & Prof. Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)
- S089517      In the Matter of the Resignation of **Dain Roy Birkley**  
A Member of the State Bar of California  
The voluntary resignation of **Dain Roy Birkley, State Bar No. 69884**, as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted



without prejudice to further proceedings in any disciplinary proceeding pending against him should he hereafter seek reinstatement. Costs are awarded to the State Bar.

\*(See Bus. & Prof. Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)

S089518 In the Matter of the Resignation of **Sven Charles Rudkin**  
A Member of the State Bar of California

The voluntary resignation of **Sven Charles Rudkin, State Bar No. 109750**, as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted without prejudice to further proceedings in any disciplinary proceeding pending against him should he hereafter seek reinstatement. It is ordered that he comply with rule 955, California Rules of Court, and that he perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 60 and 70 days, respectively, after the date this order is filed.\* Costs are awarded to the State Bar.

\*(See Bus. & Prof. Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)

S089519 In the Matter of the Resignation of **Sandra D. Hardridge**  
A Member of the State Bar of California

The voluntary resignation of **Sandra D. Hardridge, State Bar No. 159539**, as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted without prejudice to further proceedings in any disciplinary proceeding pending against her should she hereafter seek reinstatement. It is ordered that she comply with rule 955, California Rules of Court, and that she perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 60 and 70 days, respectively, after the date this order is filed.\* Costs are awarded to the State Bar.

\*(See Bus. & Prof. Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)